Case 408

Motion to Advance

Filed Oct. 27, 1899

SUPREME COURT U. S.
FILED
OCT 27 1899
JAMES H. MCKENNEY,
Clerk.

In the Supreme Court of the United States.

OCTOBER TERM, 1899.

THE UNITED STATES, APPELLANT,
v.
THE NORTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY et al. } No. 408.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT.

MOTION TO ADVANCE.

In the Supreme Court of the United States.

OCTOBER TERM, 1899.

THE UNITED STATES, APPELLANT,
v.
THE NORTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY et al. } No. 408.

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT.

MOTION TO ADVANCE.

The appellant moves the court to advance this case and assign the same for hearing at a day convenient to the court, along with No. 121, *Andrew Doherty* v. *The Northern Pacific Railway Company*. The main question involved in each case is the same, to wit: In view of the action of the Northern Pacific under its grant, is its eastern terminus at Duluth and Superior City, Minnesota, or at Ashland, Wisconsin? The railroad company claims that its right of way and accompanying land

grant extends as far east as Ashland, Wisconsin. The Government contends that its line and grant ends at Duluth or Superior City.

The question is an important one, involving large interests, and all should be heard.

JOHN K. RICHARDS,
Solicitor-General.